

Unified Program Regulations
Title 27 California Code of Regulations
15-DAY PUBLIC NOTICE OF MODIFIED TEXT AND COMMENT PERIOD

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the California Environmental Protection Agency (CalEPA) has made changes to the proposed rulemaking, amending the California Code of Regulations, title 27, division 1, subdivision 4, chapter 1, sections 15100 – 15330 and Appendices A-D. As further discussed below in more detail, the modifications to the initial proposed rulemaking (“modifications”) generally make changes to fix typographical, punctuation, and grammatical errors; ensure proper sequencing of the numbering and lettering of subsections; provide clarity, consistency, and additional details; and simplify the language. In addition, upon further reflection, CalEPA has decided not to pursue some of the changes that it initially proposed during this rulemaking.

CalEPA considers these new changes to the rulemaking to be either “nonsubstantial” or “sufficiently related” changes as those terms are defined in California Code of Regulations, title 1, sections 40 and 42, respectively.

CalEPA mailed the initial notice of proposed action for this rulemaking (Initial Public Notice) and made the following documents available for public review and comment: the Initial Statement of Reasons and the text of the proposed changes to the regulations. The initial notice stated that the “proposed regulations include significant changes that impose new reporting...requirements.” CalEPA would like to clarify that the proposed rulemaking does not impose any new reporting requirements but instead clarifies existing reporting requirements. The initial public comment period for the proposed rulemaking was between March 24, 2023 and May 8, 2023. A public hearing regarding the proposed changes to the title 27 regulations was held on May 9, 2023.

WRITTEN COMMENT PERIOD

A written comment period for the modified regulatory proposal has been established beginning December 8, 2023 and closing on December 26, 2023. To be considered relevant to this rulemaking proposal, written comments must be received by CalEPA no later than 5:00 p.m. on December 26, 2023. Written comments can be submitted by:

Mail to:

CalEPA Unified Program
P.O. Box 1815
Sacramento, California 95812

E-mail to:

cupa@calepa.ca.gov

AUTHORITY AND REFERENCE

The Initial Public Notice stated that the Secretary of CalEPA proposed the changes to the regulations based on the authority granted by, among other things, Government Code section 16.5(c) and that the references cited require the Secretary to adopt regulations that would implement, interpret or make specific Health and Safety Code chapter 6.11 of the Unified Program. CalEPA would like to correct a misstatement in

the Initial Public Notice with respect to Government Code section 16.5(c) as this section relates to digital signatures and is not applicable. In addition, the reference to Health and Safety Code chapter 6.11 of the Unified Program in the Initial Public Notice is overinclusive. More specifically, Health and Safety Code sections 25404(b) and 25404.6(c) require the Secretary of CalEPA to adopt implementing regulations and implement a unified hazardous waste and hazardous materials management regulatory program more commonly referred to as the “Unified Program” and that the regulations that are adopted are necessary for the orderly administration and implementation of the Unified Program.

UPDATED INFORMATIVE DIGEST

No changes to the Informative Digest and Policy Statement Overview that were included in the Initial Public Notice are needed at this time.

CHANGES TO PROPOSED REGULATIONS

Modified Regulatory Text

The modified text shows the full text of the regulations as originally proposed at the start of the rulemaking process with new proposed changes as follows:

- Additions to the language that were originally proposed at the start of the rulemaking process are shown in underline format.
- Deletions to the language that were originally proposed at the start of the rulemaking process are shown in ~~striketrough~~ format.
- New additions to the proposed language are shown in double underline format.
- New deletions to the proposed language are shown in ~~double striketrough~~ format.

The major proposed modifications to the Title 27 regulation language are briefly summarized below. In addition, the Addendum to the Initial Statement of Reasons for Proposed Amendments to the Unified Program’s Regulations (Addendum to the Initial Statement of Reasons) provides a more comprehensive and in-depth discussion of the modifications.

- General proposed amendments including:
 - Fix typographical and grammatical errors.
 - Renumbering or lettering for subsections to ensure proper sequencing.
 - Fix punctuation errors.
 - Change all references from “an UPA” to “a UPA” to correct grammatical errors and ensure consistency.

- CalEPA had initially proposed to make changes to some of the existing regulations but upon further reflection, CalEPA is no longer proposing to make some of those changes.
- CalEPA is making changes to ensure consistency of terms and use defined terms.

Article 1

- Section 15100: CalEPA is proposing to change the reference from “CUPA” to “UPA” to accurately reflect that the HWG Program may be implemented by a CUPA or a PA.

Article 2

- Section 15110: CalEPA is proposing to make several changes to the definitions in this section to add new definitions to terms that were not previously defined, eliminate unnecessary language and to eliminate reference to a position that no longer exists within CalEPA, and delete a definition that has no continuing relevance.

Article 3

- Section 15150(e): CalEPA is proposing to delete a requirement that an application for certification be constructed in sections, which will make subsection (e) clearer.

Article 4

- Section 15160(b): CalEPA had initially proposed adding the requirement that the Secretary consider comments from the Assistant Secretary for Local Program Coordination and Emergency Response. Upon further reflection, CalEPA is proposing to remove this requirement and add a requirement that the Secretary consider comments from CalEPA. These changes are necessary because Assistant Secretary for Local Program Coordination and Emergency Response is no longer a position within CalEPA. However, consideration of comments from CalEPA is important because CalEPA oversees implementation of the Hazardous Materials Business Plans (HMBP) Program and the California Accidental Release Prevention (CalARP) Program, and so the reference to the Assistant Secretary for Local Program Coordination and Emergency Response was replaced with a more general reference to CalEPA.
- Section 15160(d)(1)(B)(i): CalEPA is proposing to replace “shall” with “may” because an applicant is not required to submit an amended application with an appeal.

- Section 15160(d)(2): CalEPA is proposing to delete this subsection because procedures for withdrawal of certification are already adequately addressed in Sections 15320 and 15330. In addition, CalEPA is proposing to also delete the initially proposed language related to the process for reviewing an amended application for certification.
- Section 15160(e): CalEPA is proposing to combine subsections (1) and (2) into one subsection that will address both a final decision to approve and disapprove the application for certification. In addition to simplifying the regulation, CalEPA is proposing to change the timeline for issuance of a final decision to require that a final decision be issued within 30 days of completion of the appeal process. This change is necessary because the originally proposed language would have allowed the Secretary to issue a final decision before expiration of the time allowed for submission of an appeal.

Article 5

- Section 15180(e)(3)(A): CalEPA is proposing to delete “as applicable” from this subsection, which increases clarity.
- Section 15185(g)(1): CalEPA had initially proposed to add “from the date the enforcement action requirements have been resolved.” Upon further reflection, CalEPA is proposing to delete this initially proposed language as it created additional confusion.
- Section 15188(c): CalEPA is proposing to delete the word “The” at the beginning of the subsection and change the word “business” to “businesses.” This change is necessary to ensure consistency with subsections (a), (b), and (d).
- Section 15188(f): CalEPA is now proposing to delete the word “UPA.”
- Section 15210(b)(1)(B)(i): In the initial rulemaking, CalEPA proposed to change “45 days” to “90 days.” CalEPA would like to further explain that this change is necessary because many CUPAs have indicated that 45 days is not enough time to process and remit the fees.
- Section 15210(b)(1)(D)(i): CalEPA is proposing to delete “included by category, if applicable” from the subsection because the extra requirement is unnecessary, and its removal simplifies the regulations.
- Section 15210(b)(2): CalEPA is proposing to add “described in” before “Section 15220(a)(2) in two places within this subsection, which improves clarity.

- Section 15210(b)(3): CalEPA is proposing to delete “[p]ublication of” from the start of this subsection. This change is necessary because the meaning of publication was unclear, and the subsection achieves the intent of ensuring the fee schedule is made available to interested parties upon request without the language focused on publication.
- Section 15220(a)(1): CalEPA is proposing to delete “included by category, if applicable” throughout the subsection. This change is being made because the extra requirement is unnecessary, and its removal simplifies the regulations.
- Section 15220(a)(1)(E)(i): In the initial rulemaking, CalEPA proposed to add a new subsection that states “[i]f the CUPA has a PA, the CUPA shall also specify the number of regulated businesses in each program element regulated by the PA.” CalEPA would like to further explain that this addition is necessary to clarify that the CUPA is responsible for accounting for the number of regulated businesses in program elements implemented by a PA.
- Section 15220(a)(1)(H): In the initial rulemaking, CalEPA proposed adding “but not limited to” to Section 15220(a)(1)(H) and to create new subsections, including (i) frequency of inspection; (ii) oversight of electronic reporting; (iii) verification of return to compliance; and (iv) applied appropriate enforcement. CalEPA would like to further explain that the proposed subsections (ii)-(iv) include new language, while (i) is simply moved into a subsection. “[B]ut not limited to” was added to this section to make clear that the UPA may account for costs associated with services other than those described in subsections (i)-(iv). Subsections (ii)-(iv) were added because these services are part of the operating costs for Unified Program implementation and should be included in the UPA’s accounting.
- Section 15220(a)(1)(I): In the initial rulemaking, CalEPA proposed adding a new subsection, which states “[n]on-recurring activities such as, but not limited to, the fee for an initial permit or special inspection.” CalEPA would like to further explain that This addition is necessary to ensure UPA operating costs for Unified Program implementation are accurately reflected in the accounting.
- Section 15220(a)(1)(J): In the initial rulemaking, CalEPA proposed adding a new subsection, which states “[o]ther funding sources that assist in covering the costs of implementation of the Unified Program.” CalEPA would like to further explain that this addition is necessary because a meaningful accounting requires consideration of expenses as well as available funding sources.
- Section 15250(b): CalEPA had initially proposed to move this subsection, which focuses on remittance of collected state surcharge revenues, to

Section 15290. Upon further reflection, CalEPA has concluded that it is preferable to leave the requirements related to remittance of surcharge revenues in Section 15250, which focuses on CUPA surcharge responsibilities. The intent is for Section 15250 to focus exclusively on surcharge responsibilities, and for Section 15290 to focus exclusively on CUPA reporting requirements.

In addition to returning the language regarding remittance of state surcharge revenues to Section 15250(b), CalEPA is proposing to delete “as specified in Section 15290 of this chapter.” This change is necessary because the quoted language is no longer relevant in light of the decision to retain the requirements regarding remittance of state surcharge revenues in Section 15250. CalEPA is also proposing to change “should” to “shall” in Section 15250(b)(1). This change is necessary because making the surcharge payable to the Secretary for Environmental Protection is mandatory. CalEPA is also proposing to delete what had been Section 15250(b)(2)(ii). This change is being made because the subsection focuses on reporting to CalEPA, and the language is being moved to Section 15290.

- Section 15260(a)(3): CalEPA is proposing to add “for a maximum of” to this subsection to clarify that qualifying experience may be substituted for a maximum of 15 semester units or 23 quarter units of education.
- Section 15260(b): CalEPA is proposing to change “staff or supervisors implementing the requirement of” to “staff or supervisors who conduct and/or oversee inspections and enforcement activities.” This change is intended to provide more detail so that it is clear which staff or supervisors may be exempt from the minimum education requirements. Additionally, CalEPA is proposing to change “January 1, 2023” to “July 1, 2024.” This change is intended to ensure the minimum education requirements apply to UPA staff or supervisors beginning on a date certain that postdates the effective date of this rulemaking.
- Section 15260(c): CalEPA is proposing to delete “based on Unified Program implementation.” This change is intended to ensure that all UPA staff receive training on all of the subject areas described in Section 15260(c) regardless of the Unified Program element or elements they implement. UPA staff need to be trained on all subject areas in order to ensure staff can conduct inspections outside of their area of responsibility in an emergency, and to allow for a smoother transition when the staff moves to other programs.
- Section 15260: In the Initial Statement of Reasons (ISOR), CalEPA described how it is proposing to move the content of Section 15260(d)(1)(A) to other parts of Section 15260. CalEPA would like to further explain that it is also proposing to remove the requirement in subsection (d)(1)(A). The educational requirements contained in subsection (d)(1)(A) are already

addressed in subsection (a), including requirements regarding a college degree in a natural sciences discipline and substitution of experience to meet the education requirement. However, the provisions for substituting experience for education were in conflict—Section (d)(1)(A) allowed for a greater number of years of experience that could be substituted for education. CalEPA determined that the provisions in subsection (a), in regard to substituting experience with education, are more appropriate than those provided in subsection (d)(1)(A). This approach also provides additional flexibility because CalEPA recognizes that disciplines such as industrial hygiene and hazardous materials management—while not natural sciences—are important and relevant for Unified Program implementation.

- Section 15260(g): CalEPA is proposing to replace “when performing Unified Program operations” with “when conducting inspections.” This change is intended to clarify which contractors must meet the education and training requirements described in Section 15260. More generally, this subsection is being added because some UPAs use contractors to implement aspects of the Unified Program and it is important for any contractors that conduct inspections to have adequate education and training.

Article 6

- Section 15280(e): CalEPA had initially proposed adding “the CUPA shall forward the self-audit report to the person or agency making the request upon 60 days’ notice.” Upon further reflection, CalEPA is proposing to change the phrase to “the CUPA shall provide the self-audit report within 60 days.” This change is intended to simplify the language to provide clarity and avoid redundancy of words and more clearly define the timeline within which a requested self-audit report must be provided.
- Section 15290(a): CalEPA had initially proposed to move requirements related to remittance of collected state surcharge revenues from Section 15250(b) to this subsection. Upon further reflection, CalEPA has concluded that it is preferable to leave the requirements related to remittance of surcharge revenues in Section 15250, which focuses on CUPA surcharge responsibilities. The intent is for Section 15250 to focus exclusively on surcharge responsibilities, and for Section 15290 to focus exclusively on CUPA reporting requirements. Accordingly, the proposed language related to remittance of surcharge revenues has been deleted from Section 15290(a) and the subsection has been modified so that it is exclusively focused on submission of the Surcharge Transmittal Report.

Section 15290(b)(1)(D)(i): CalEPA is proposing to change “[b]usiness” to “[r]egulated business.” “Regulated business” is defined in Section 15110 and this change is intended to clarify that the subsection is focused on regulated businesses as defined in Section 15110.

- Section 15290(c)(1): CalEPA is proposing to add “Secretary” and delete “until such time when a FES report can be directly reported in CERS.” “Secretary” is being added to clarify the recipient of the FES report. The additional language regarding reporting into CERS is being deleted because CalEPA has determined that it is preferable to wait until a FES report can be directly reported in CERS before referencing that capability in the regulations.

Article 7

- Section 15300(a)(2): CalEPA initially proposed adding “removal” to this subsection. Upon further consideration, CalEPA had determined that “removal” is addressed elsewhere in the regulations and that additional consideration is needed before determining whether it should be included in Section 15300. Accordingly, CalEPA is proposing to delete “or removal” from this subsection and put the word “or” back into the subsection.
- Section 15300(e)(1): CalEPA initially proposed adding new language to this subsection to provide additional detail regarding the public hearing. Upon further reflection, CalEPA has determined that this additional detail is unnecessary. CalEPA is now proposing to delete the new language. The text of Section 15300(e)(1) will remain as it was prior to the initiation of this rulemaking process.
- Section 15300(f): CalEPA is proposing to delete “circumstances require an extension of this deadline” and replace it with “that an extension of this deadline is warranted in light of the complexity of the issues presented or the volume of information submitted.” CalEPA is proposing this change to clarify when the Secretary can exercise their discretion to extend the 60-day deadline for approving or disapproving the CUPA’s proposal. The ISOR discussed the concept of changing the 60-day timeline to 180 days, but CalEPA is not pursuing that change because it does not believe the extension of time is necessary or warranted in all circumstances.

Article 8

- Section 15330(a): CalEPA is proposing to delete “periodically” and replace it with “at least once every four years.” This change is being made to enhance clarity and ensure CUPAs have a clear understanding of the timeline for evaluations. The regulations currently specify that the Secretary will evaluate a CUPA’s performance and implementation of the Unified Program at least once every three years. CalEPA is proposing to change the timeline from three years to four years to ensure the time between reviews is sufficient to allow CalEPA staff to conduct meaningful evaluations. CalEPA may conduct limited assessments of CUPAs’ performance between formal evaluations to ensure major performance concerns are timely identified and remedied.

- Section 15330(d): CalEPA had initially proposed to add this new subsection about Program Improvement Agreements for CUPAs that cease to meet minimum qualifications or fail to implement program element(s) in the Unified Program application. CalEPA is now proposing to delete the new subsection. Upon further reflection, CalEPA has concluded that the initially proposed change is not necessary as Program Improvement Agreements are already addressed in Section 15320.

Appendices A, B, and D

CalEPA had initially proposed repealing Appendices A and B and adopting new Appendices A and B. In addition, CalEPA proposed making changes to the Trade Secret Form contained in Appendix C and moving it to a new Appendix D. Upon further consideration, CalEPA has determined that additional consideration is needed before determining what changes are needed to Appendices A and B and the Trade Secret Form. Therefore, CalEPA is no longer proposing to make any changes to Appendices A and B and the Trade Secret Form at this time. The Trade Secret Form will remain in Appendix C.

Appendix C

CalEPA had initially proposed repealing Appendix C and adopting a new Appendix C. The new Appendix C had two files that were labeled “Appendix C1” and “Appendix C2.” It was not CalEPA’s intention to create two new appendices but instead one new appendix that includes the information contained in the files labeled “Appendix C1” and “Appendix C2.” CalEPA is proposing to make additional modifications to Appendix C. As further explained in the ISOR Addendum, there were many changes to Appendix C including: remove unnecessary lines, bold text, add new columns, add and modify headings and instructions, change format, fix grammatical errors, delete unnecessary punctuation, and add and delete rows. In addition, CalEPA is proposing to make changes to provide more details about the amount of the CUPA oversight costs and to update the amount of the surcharge from \$84 to \$94 dollars to accurately reflect the current surcharge amounts. As mentioned above, the Trade Secret Form will remain in Appendix C.

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Compliance

In the Initial Public Notice, CalEPA stated that this rulemaking is not subject to CEQA as it is considered ministerial. Upon further reflection, it is more accurate to state that the reason the rulemaking including the proposed modifications is not subject to CEQA is because the proposed regulations are not anticipated to result in any impact on the environment that would trigger the requirement for an environmental analysis under CEQA.

Business Report

As indicated in the Initial Public Notice, CalEPA has determined that this rulemaking will not require businesses to write a new report, as defined by Government Code section 11346.3(c). CalEPA would like to correct a misstatement in the Initial Public Notice with respect to the reference to Government Code section 11346.3(c)—the correct subsection is (d) and not (c). In addition, CalEPA would like to clarify that the regulations, including the modifications discussed above, require businesses to report; however, the rulemaking does not create new reporting requirements but instead clarifies existing reporting requirements. The regulations that require businesses to report are necessary for the health, safety, or welfare of the people of the state.

FISCAL IMPACT ESTIMATES

Mandates on Local Agencies and School Districts: In the Initial Public Notice, CalEPA made a preliminary determination that adoption of these regulations will create no new local mandates. However, upon further reflection, CalEPA has made a preliminary determination that adoption of these regulations including the modifications will impose new mandates on local agencies as the proposed regulations clarify and modify requirements for the UPAs' implementation of Unified Program elements. More specifically, the regulations will require CUPAs to develop and implement procedures for the Unified Program Facility Permit, develop and implement procedures for the single fee system and fee accountability program, revise and update Inspection and Enforcement Plans to include inspection protocols and progressive enforcement, and incorporate refresher training into Training Program. The mandates on local agencies do not require state reimbursement pursuant to Government Code part 7, division 4 commencing with section 17500.

The adoption of these regulations will not impose a mandate on school districts.

Estimate of Potential Cost or Savings to Local Agencies or School District Subject to Reimbursement: In the Initial Public Notice, CalEPA made a preliminary determination that adoption of these regulations will not impose a local mandate or result in costs subject to reimbursement pursuant to Government Code part 7, division 4, section 17500 et seq, or other non-discretionary costs to local agencies. However, upon further reflection, as explained above, CalEPA has made a preliminary determination that adoption of these regulations including the modifications will create new mandates on local agencies. It is estimated that the potential cost to local agencies is approximately \$12,096 for each CUPA and a total of \$1,835,622 for the 81 CUPAs. As mentioned above, the adoption of these regulations will not impose a mandate on school districts. CalEPA would also like to clarify that the costs to local agencies do not require reimbursement pursuant to Government Code part 7, division 4, commencing with section 17500 et seq.

Cost or Savings to Any Local Agency: In the Initial Public Notice, CalEPA made a preliminary determination that the proposed regulations will have no impact on local agencies. However, upon further reflection, CalEPA has made a preliminary

determination that adoption of these regulations including the modifications will have cost impacts on local agencies. As further explained in the Economic Impact Assessment in the Addendum to the Initial Statement of Reasons, it is estimated that the rulemaking would result in each CUPA spending approximately \$12,096 to implement the changes. The total estimated costs to the 81 CUPAs is \$1,835,622.

Other Nondiscretionary Cost or Savings Imposed on Local Agencies

CalEPA has made a determination that the proposed regulations will likely not result in any other nondiscretionary costs or savings imposed on local agencies.

Cost Impacts on Representative Private Persons or Businesses: In the Initial Notice, CalEPA stated that it was not aware of any cost impacts that a representative private person or business would necessarily incur in reasonable compliance with the proposed action. However, upon further reflection, CalEPA believes that there may be cost impacts to businesses. As further explained in the Economic Impact Assessment in the Addendum to the Initial Statement of Reasons, it is estimated that the rulemaking would result in an increase of \$12.24 per regulated business per year if the CUPAs decide not to absorb the costs associated with the implementation of this rulemaking and instead pass the costs onto regulated businesses.

Effect on Small Businesses: In the Initial Notice, CalEPA determined that provisions of this rulemaking will have no effect on small businesses because the proposed regulations affect state and local agencies who implement the Unified Program only. However, upon further reflection, CalEPA believes that there will be potential effects on small businesses. As mentioned above and as further explained in the Economic Impact Assessment in the Addendum to the Initial Statement of Reasons, it is estimated that the rulemaking would result in an increase of \$12.24 per regulated business per year if the CUPAs decide not to absorb the costs associated with the implementation of this rulemaking and instead pass the costs onto regulated businesses including small businesses.

CONSIDERATION OF ALTERNATIVES

CalEPA must determine that no reasonable alternative considered by CalEPA or that has otherwise been identified and brought to the attention of CalEPA:

- Would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the action is proposed,
- Would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposed action, or
- Would be more cost effective to affected private persons and equally effective in implementing the statutory policy or other provision of law.

AVAILABILITY OF MODIFIED TEXT OF REGULATIONS, ADDENDUM TO THE INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS, AND OTHER RULEMAKING DOCUMENTS

Copies of this 15-day public notice, addendum to the Initial Statements of Reasons, and the modified text of the proposed regulations are posted to CalEPA's website: <https://calepa.ca.gov/cupa/Unified-Program-Regulations-45-Day-Public-Notice-and-Comment-Period/>. The Addendum to the Initial Statement of Reasons provides additional explanation of some of the amendments that were initially proposed on March 24, 2023 and explains the new modifications to the regulatory text.

Copies of the Initial Public Notice, Initial Statement of Reasons, and other documents are also posted at the CalEPA website listed above.

INQUIRIES/COMMENTS REGARDING THE MODIFICATION TO THE PROPOSED REGULATIONS

Written comments, procedural inquiries and requests for documents regarding the modification to the proposed regulations and information upon which the proposed rulemaking was based may be directed to the CalEPA Unified Program by:

Mail to:

CalEPA Unified Program
P.O. Box 1815
Sacramento, California 95812

E-mail to:

cupa@calepa.ca.gov

Use "27 CCR Regulation Comment" as the subject line

Points of Contact:

Steven Gailey
(916) 318-8157
Steven.Gailey@calepa.ca.gov

Or

John Paine
(916) 327-5092
John.Paine@calepa.ca.gov

To be included on a mailing list regarding this regulation package, and to receive updates about this rulemaking, please send an email to: cupa@calepa.ca.gov and be sure to include:

- Name
- Mailing address

- Email address, if preferred

POST COMMENT PERIOD CHANGES

After the close of the 15-day comment period, CalEPA may adopt the proposed regulations. If additional substantial changes are made, the modified text will be made available for comment for at least 15 days prior to adoption. Only persons who request the specific proposed regulations, attend the hearing, or provide written or oral comments on the specific proposed regulations will be sent a copy of the modified text.

CalEPA will prepare a Final Statement of Reasons, which updates the Initial Statement of Reasons and Addendum to the Initial Statement of Reasons, and summarizes how CalEPA addressed comments received. The Final Statement of Reasons will also include other materials, as required by Government Code section 11346.9. A copy of the Final Statement of Reasons will be available from CalEPA's website:

<https://calepa.ca.gov/cupa/Unified-Program-Regulations-45-Day-Public-Notice-and-Comment-Period/>, or a copy may be obtained by sending an email request to: cupa@calepa.ca.gov.

The date the rulemaking is filed with the Secretary of State and the effective date of the adopted regulations will be posted on CalEPA's website:

<https://calepa.ca.gov/cupa/Unified-Program-Regulations-45-Day-Public-Notice-and-Comment-Period/>.