SURVEY: Unified Program Evaluation Process

	Date:										
Се	ertified Unified Program Agency: (optional)										
	Thank you in adv	ance f	for partic	ipating i	n this su	ırvey.					
	Responses received will ha	ave no	effect o	n overall	progran	n perfo	rmance.				
Plea	ase submit completed responses to th	ne Cali	ifornia En	vironmer	ntal Prote	ction A	gency (C	alEP	A).		
	Email		Mail								
	Melinda.Blum@calepa.ca.g		Ms. Melind CalEPA Ur P.O. Box 2 Sacrament	nified Progi 815							
Completion of this survey will assist in the continuous improvement of the Unified Program Evaluation process and the performance of evaluators.											
Your FEEDBACK IS VALUABLE. PLEASE ATTACH ADDITIONAL SHEETS FOR COMMENTS IF NEEDED.											
	Provide the most appropr 1 = Strongly agree		esponse re - Agree		the <u>evalu</u> ovement i		rocess.				
1.	The pre-evaluation process and rem			•			due to:	1	2	3	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	notification of evaluation		ttal •	scheduling inspections	of oversig		• issua	nce of ninary dings			
	COMMENTS:										
2.	Overall, the evaluation process expe	erience	e was con	structive	and ben	eficial.		1	2	3	
	COMMENTS:							1			
3.	The evaluation process assessed the adequately and fairly.	ie CUP.	PA's imple	mentatio	n of the U	Inified I	Program	1	2	3	
	COMMENTS:										
4.	What changes would you make to th	he eval	luation pr	ocess?							
	COMMENTS:										

SURVEY: Unified Program Evaluation Process

Provide the most appropriate response regarding the performance of <u>each</u> <u>evaluator</u>.

1 = Strongly Agree 2 = Agree 3 = Improvement Needed

1.	The evaluator was prepared for the evaluation.	CALEPA	1	2	3	COMMENTS:
		DTSC	1	2	3	
		CAL OES	1	2	3	
		OSFM	1	2	3	
		SWB	1	2	3	
2.		CALEPA	1	2	3	COMMENTS:
	The evaluator's familiarity with program element standards was clear and helpful.	DTSC	<u>'</u> 1	2	3	
		CAL OES	1	2	3	
		OSFM	<u>'</u> 1	2	3	
		SWB	_ <u>'</u> 1	2	3	
			1		,	
3.		CALEPA	1	2	3	COMMENTS:
	The evaluator's	DTSC	1	2	3	
	assessment covered all aspects of program implementation.	CAL OES	1	2	3	
		OSFM	1	2	3	
		SWB	1	2	3	
4.		CALEPA	1	2	3	COMMENTS:
4.	The evaluator clearly	CALEPA DTSC	1	2 2	3	COMMENTS:
4.	The evaluator clearly identified and adequately explained the	DTSC				COMMENTS:
4.	identified and adequately explained the findings of the	DTSC	1	2	3	COMMENTS:
4.	identified and adequately explained the	DTSC CAL OES	1	2	3	COMMENTS:
	identified and adequately explained the findings of the evaluation.	DTSC CAL OES OSFM SWB	1 1 1	2 2 2 2	3 3 3	
4 . 5 .	identified and adequately explained the findings of the evaluation. The evaluator, in	DTSC CAL OES OSFM SWB	1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3	COMMENTS:
	identified and adequately explained the findings of the evaluation.	DTSC CAL OES OSFM SWB CALEPA DTSC	1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3	
	identified and adequately explained the findings of the evaluation. The evaluator, in collaboration with CUPA, developed appropriate and achievable	DTSC CAL OES OSFM SWB CALEPA DTSC CAL OES	1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3	
	identified and adequately explained the findings of the evaluation. The evaluator, in collaboration with CUPA, developed appropriate	DTSC CAL OES OSFM SWB CALEPA DTSC CAL OES OSFM	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3 3	
	identified and adequately explained the findings of the evaluation. The evaluator, in collaboration with CUPA, developed appropriate and achievable deficiency corrective	DTSC CAL OES OSFM SWB CALEPA DTSC CAL OES	1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3	
	identified and adequately explained the findings of the evaluation. The evaluator, in collaboration with CUPA, developed appropriate and achievable deficiency corrective	DTSC CAL OES OSFM SWB CALEPA DTSC CAL OES OSFM	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3 3	
5.	identified and adequately explained the findings of the evaluation. The evaluator, in collaboration with CUPA, developed appropriate and achievable deficiency corrective	DTSC CAL OES OSFM SWB CALEPA DTSC CAL OES OSFM SWB	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3 3	COMMENTS:
5.	identified and adequately explained the findings of the evaluation. The evaluator, in collaboration with CUPA, developed appropriate and achievable deficiency corrective actions. The evaluator was professional and	DTSC CAL OES OSFM SWB CALEPA DTSC CAL OES OSFM SWB	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3 3	COMMENTS:
5.	identified and adequately explained the findings of the evaluation. The evaluator, in collaboration with CUPA, developed appropriate and achievable deficiency corrective actions. The evaluator was	DTSC CAL OES OSFM SWB CALEPA DTSC CAL OES OSFM SWB CALEPA DTSC	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	COMMENTS:

SURVEY: Unified Program Evaluation Process

Additional Comments or Concerns:								