```
<sup>1</sup>KATHLEEN WALSH, General Counsel
 1
    Lisa F. Brown, Staff Counsel, SBN 99150
    California Air Resources Board
 2
    2020 L St.
    Sacramento CA 95814
 3
    (196) 322-2884
 4
    Attorneys for Applicant Air Resources Board
 5
 6
 7
          MUNICIPAL/SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SHASTA
 8
    IN THE MATTER OF THE
                                             ^{3}NO.
 9
    INSPECTION AT:
10
                                             AFFIDAVIT FOR INSPECTION
                                       )
    <sup>2</sup>APN 108-090-02
                                       )
11
    Pray Road, Redding
                                             WARRANT
12
13
                I, Pedro Campos, being duly sworn, hereby say:
14
        <sup>5</sup>1.
15
16
17
```

I am an Air Pollution Specialist employed by the California Air Resources Board ("ARB"). I have been so employed for eight years. I work in the compliance division which involves complaint response and investigation, including complaints regarding asbestos. I have recently completed a 40 hour course in asbestos regulations. Prior to working for ARB, I worked as an air pollution inspector for Kern County for ten years. For five of those years, I was in charge of the asbestos regulation program in Kern County. During my employment in Kern County, I attended several one and two day asbestos regulation courses put on by the state and federal government. I have inspected more than one hundred buildings for the presence of asbestos.

27

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

26

28

⁶2. This Affidavit is made in support of a request for an inspection warrant; to establish reason to believe that conditions of nonconformity with the Health and Safety Code and the ordinances of the City of Redding regarding demolition and asbestos management may exist at APN 108-090-02 on Pray Road, Redding; and to set forth reasons why it is necessary to have the California Air Resources Board and the City of Redding Planning and Building Department assist in the execution of said Warrant; and to permit these agencies to conduct an inspection of the property to determine what, if any, violations regarding demolition and asbestos regulations exist.

⁷3. The property to be inspected is located on Pray Road at the southwest intersection of Pray Road and Westside Road in the City of Redding, California, assessor's parcel number (APN) 108-090-02, consisting of a 2.9 acre parcel. On the parcel is a commercial building facing Westside Road. Behind the commercial building are approximately 12 small cottage type single family residences, currently unoccupied and the subject of an abatement order by the City of Redding (copy attached as Exhibit "A"). One of these small residences has an address of 2200 Pray Road. The commercial building houses an appliance shop which is not included in this warrant.

⁸4. According to county records, the owner of record of the real property described above is listed as Stanley M. Bridges, P.O. Box 189, Redding, California.

95. On November 7, 1997 I met with Mike Nowman of the City

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

of Redding Building and Planning Department to discuss with him a case referred by his Department to the Air Resources Board. Mr. Nowman informed me¹⁰ that the City of Redding had ordered several small dilapidated cottages/houses on APN 108-090-02 on Pray Road be demolished. Nowman gave me a copy of the abatement order (attached). Nowman also told me that the owner had refused to obtain a demolition permit or to take test for the presence of asbestos. According to Mr. Nowman, county records indicated the owner of the property was Stanley M. Bridges. Nowman and I went to the Pray Road location.

6. Upon arrival at the property, I talked to Lonnie Finch who identified himself as the manager for Mr. Bridges. I asked Finch for permission to inspect the property for possible asbestos 11. Finch stated he did not have the authority from Bridges to allow entry by inspectors. ¹²From my position in the alley way where the conversation with Finch took place, I could see that at least 2 of the cottages had already been demolished. This is a violation of the local ordinance regarding the requirement that a demolition permit be obtained prior to demolition. It is also a violation of law (Health and Safety Code sections 39655 39658(b)(1)) which adopt applicable federal regulations regarding asbestos which require that all projects involving demolition of more than four units certify that asbestos has been either determined not to be present or that it has been removed by a licensed abatement contractor and notice prior to commencement of demolition to the Air Resources Board. No such notice has been

received on this property. Approximately seven cottages remaining had what appeared to me to be troweled on stucco exteriors which could contain asbestos. These type of materials can become friable during demolition unless specific precautions are taken. If these precautions are not taken, demolition will result in release of friable asbestos, which is a violation of state law. In addition, friable asbestos is hazardous waste under the Health and Safety Code and must be transported only to licensed disposal facilities.

- 7. ¹¹Finch assured me that he would advise Mr. Bridges to contact me as soon as possible regarding the inspection. He also agreed not to do any more demolition until the property had been inspected. To this date, I have not been contacted by Mr. Bridges. The City of Redding has requested that we obtain the samples so that demolition may be completed.
- 8. ¹¹On December 8, 1997, a letter was sent to the owner, Mr. Bridges at the P.O. Box listed in county records, requesting that he contact me to arrange a date for an inspection. No response has been received from that letter.
- 9. ¹³In light of the above circumstances, I request that permission be given to conduct this inspection without the presence of the owner. There are no occupants of the property. Mr. Stanley's manager, Mr. Finch may be present.
- ¹⁴10. I also request that the City of Redding Building and Planning be allowed to inspect as they have authority¹⁵ over the abatement and demolition issues (Uniform Building Code section 203

as adopted by the City of Redding). The California Department of Air Resources has the authority to conduct the inspection inspection prayed for herein pursuant to Health and Safety Code sections 39655 (a), 39658(b)(1) and 41510. Your affiant is authorized by the Air Resources Board to conduct investigations and inspections of places where violations of asbestos may be present.

WHEREFORE, your affiant respectfully requests an inspection warrant issue pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure sections 1822.50 et seq. to permit an inspection and investigation of the premises named in the caption above, as set forth fully in the Inspection Warrant,

 AND^{15} to permit the inspection between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m.;

I affirm under penalty of perjury that the above information is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Pedro Campos, Affiant 16

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME,

DECEMBER 16, 1997.

Magistrate's Signature 17