WHAT HAPPENS AFTER 2030?

Danny Cullenward JD, PHD

Vice Chair, Independent Emissions Market Advisory Committee (IEMAC)

dcullenward-iemac@ghgpolicy.org

21 Sept 2022

Note: This presentation reflects my personal views, not those of the full Committee.

ORIGINAL AUTHORITY

In furtherance of achieving the statewide greenhouse gas emissions limit, by January 1, 2011, the state board may adopt a regulation that establishes a system of market-based declining annual aggregate emission limits for sources or categories of sources that emit greenhouse gas emissions, applicable from January 1, 2012, to December 31, 2020, inclusive, that the state board determines will achieve the maximum technologically feasible and cost-effective reductions in greenhouse gas emissions, in the aggregate, from those sources or categories of sources.

—Health & Safety Code § 38562(c)

Added by AB 32 (Ch. 488, Stat. 2006)

CURRENT AUTHORITY

The state board may adopt a regulation that establishes a system of market-based declining annual aggregate emissions limits for sources or categories of sources that emit greenhouse gases, applicable from January 1, 2012, to December 31, 2030, inclusive, that the state board determines will achieve the maximum technologically feasible and cost-effective reductions in greenhouse gas emissions, in the aggregate, from those sources or categories of sources.

—Health & Safety Code § 38562(c)(2)

Added by AB 398 (Ch. 135, Stat. 2017)

CURRENT AUTHORITY

This section shall remain in effect only until January 1, 2031, and as of that date is repealed, unless a later enacted statute which is enacted before that date, deletes or extends that date.

—Health & Safety Code § 38562(h)

Added by AB 398 (Ch. 135, Stat. 2017)

WHAT CONSTITUTES A "TAX"?

Proposition 13 (1978)

Requires a 2/3 vote for legislation designed to increase revenue, but did not define "tax"

Allowance auctions are not a "tax" under Prop 13 standards (Cal. Chamber of Commerce)

Proposition 26 (2010)

Adopted a new and very broad definition of "tax"

2/3 voting requirement triggered any time a statute cause "any taxpayer" to pay a higher tax

QUESTIONS FOR DISCUSSION

- Does CARB currently have the legal authority to continue the implementation of its cap-and-trade program for greenhouse gases beyond 2030?
- Does the state constitution require a 2/3 vote for legislation that extends the cap-and-trade program's explicit statutory authority beyond 2030?

RESOURCES

- Cara Horowitz, M. Rhead Enion, Sean B. Hecht, and Ann Carlson, <u>Spending California's</u>

 <u>Auction Revenue: Understanding the Sinclair Paint Risk Spectrum</u>, EMMETT CENTER ON

 CLIMATE CHANGE LAW, UCLA SCHOOL OF LAW (2012)
- Andy Coghlan and Danny Cullenward, <u>State Constitutional Limitations on the Future of</u>
 California's Carbon Market, 37 ENERGY LAW JOURNAL 219 (2016)
- Dave Owen, Auctions, Taxes, and Air, 65 UCLA LAW REVIEW DISCOURSE 64 (2017)
- California Chamber of Commerce v. CARB (2017) 10 Cal.App.5th 604
- Zolly v. City of Oakland (Aug. 11, 2022, S262634) ___ Cal.5th ___